

OPEN MEETING ITEM ORIGINAL



BRIAN C. MCNEIL Executive Secretary

JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2003 NOV 13 P 2: 05

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE:

NOVEMBER 13, 2003

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DOCKET NO:

T-04144A-02-0762

NOV 1 3 2003

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Dwight Nodes. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

FIRST MILE SERVICES, LLC. dba FIRST MILE TECHNOLOGIES (CC&N/FACILITIES BASED)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by <u>4:00</u> p.m. on or before:

NOVEMBER 24, 2003

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

DECEMBER 2, 2003 and DECEMBER 3, 2003

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3911.

BRIAN C. McNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 WWW.CC.State.az.us

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS 3 MARC SPITZER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-04144A-02-0762 7 FIRST MILE SERVICES, LLC dba FIRST MILE TECHNOLOGIES FOR A CERTIFICATE OF 8 DECISION NO. CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE RESOLD LONG DISTANCE, RESOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE AND FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL **EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS** 10 OPINION AND ORDER SERVICES. 11 October 7, 2003 DATE OF HEARING: 12 Phoenix, Arizona PLACE OF HEARING: 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dwight D. Nodes 14 APPEARANCES: Mr. Michael J. Farmer, Chief Operating Officer, on behalf of FirstMile Services, L.L.C.; and 15 Mr. Jason Gellman, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 16 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 17 BY THE COMMISSION: 18 19 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 20 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that: 21 FINDINGS OF FACT 22 On October 7, 2002, First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies ("First 1. 23 Mile" or "Applicant") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and 24 Necessity ("Certificate") to provide resold long distance and facilities-based and resold local 25 exchange telecommunications services within the State of Arizona. The application petitioned the 26 Commission for determination that its proposed services should be classified as competitive. 27

On September 22, 2003, Applicant docketed a Notice of Filing of Affidavits of

2.

28

Publication that complies with Commission rules.

3

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

- 3. First Mile is a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws and jurisdiction of the District of Columbia, and is authorized to do business in Arizona.
- On August 6, 2003, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff 4. Report, which recommended approval of the application and included a number of additional recommendations.
- On August 15, 2003, a Procedural Order was issued setting this matter for hearing on October 7, 2003, and setting various procedural deadlines.
- On October 7, 2003, a full public hearing in this matter was held as scheduled. Applicant's chief operating officer, Michael J. Farmer, appeared telephonically on behalf of First Mile. Staff appeared and was represented by counsel. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge took the matter under advisement and informed the parties that a Recommended Opinion and Order would be prepared for the Commissioners' consideration.
- Applicant currently operates only in Indiana serving "greenfield" residential 7. subdivisions. First Mile's business model is to lay cable in new subdivisions in joint utility trenches thereby enabling Applicant to provide a fiber coaxial network as an alternative to the incumbent local exchange company.
- 8. Applicant has the technical capability to provide the services that are proposed in its application.
- 9. Currently there are several incumbent providers of local exchange and interexchange services in the service territory requested by Applicant, and numerous other entities have been authorized to provide competitive local and interexchange services in all or portions of that territory.
 - 10. It is appropriate to classify all of Applicant's authorized services as competitive.
- 11. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
- 12. According to Staff, First Mile submitted the unaudited, financial statements for its parent company, First Mile Technologies, Inc, for the year ending December 31, 2002. These financial statements list assets of \$3.0 million, negative equity of \$515,309, and a net loss of \$2.2

million.

- 13. The Application states that First Mile collects advances and/or deposits from its customers.
- 14. Staff recommends that First Mile's application for a Certificate to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange telecommunications services be granted subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) that, unless it provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, First Mile be ordered to procure an Interconnection Agreement, within 365 days of the effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, that must remain in effect until further order of the Commission, before being allowed to offer local exchange service;
 - (b) that First Mile be ordered to file with the Commission, within 365 days of the effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, its plan to have its customers' telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;
 - (c) that First Mile be ordered to pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
 - (d) that First Mile be ordered to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-00000E-95-0498);
 - (e) that First Mile be ordered to abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-0151B-93-0183;
 - (f) that in areas where it is the sole provider of local exchange service facilities, First Mile be ordered to provide customers with access to alternative providers of service pursuant to the provisions of Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
 - (g) that First Mile be ordered to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 365 days of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, which certification must remain in effect until further Order of the Commission;
 - (h) that First Mile be ordered to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;
 - (i) that First Mile be ordered to provide 2-PIC equal access;
 - (j) that First Mile be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to its address or telephone number;

- (k) that First Mile be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- (l) that First Mile be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- (m) that First Mile be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- (n) that First Mile be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- (o) that First Mile be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints; and
- (p) First Mile be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission.
- 15. Staff further recommended that the Applicant be subject to the Commission's rules governing interconnection and unbundling and the 1996 Telecommunications Act and the rules promulgated thereunder. In the event that the Applicant provides essential services or facilities that potential competitors need in order to provide their services, the Applicant should be required to offer those facilities or services to these providers on non-discriminatory terms and conditions pursuant to federal laws, federal rules and state rules.
- 16. Staff further recommended that First Mile's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services should be granted subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) First Mile be ordered to file conforming tariffs within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever occurs first, and in accordance with the Decision;
 - (b) In order to protect First Mile's customers:
 - (1) First Mile should be ordered to procure a performance bond equal to \$135,000. The minimum bond amount of \$135,000 should be increased if at any time it would be insufficient to cover prepayments or deposits collected from First Mile's customers. The bond amount should be increased in increments of \$67,500 whenever the total amount of the advances, deposits and prepayments is within \$13,500 of the bond amount;
 - (2) if First Mile desires to discontinue service, it should be required to file an application with the Commission pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107;

- (3) First Mile should be required to notify each of its local exchange customers and the Commission 60 days prior to filing an application to discontinue service pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107; and any failure to do so should result in forfeiture of the Applicant's performance bond;
- (4) First Mile should docket proof of the performance bond within 365 days of the effective date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, and must remain in effect until further Order of the Commission;
- (5) if, at some time in the future, First Mile does not collect from its customers an advance, deposit and/or prepayments, Staff recommends that First Mile be allowed to file a request for cancellation of the resold long distance portion of its established performance bond. Such request should be filed with the Commission for Staff review. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff will forward its recommendation to the Commission; and
- (c) If any of the above timeframes are not met, that First Mile's CC&N should become null and void without further Order of the Commission and no extensions for compliance should be granted.
- 17. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant, it has determined that First Mile's fair value rate base is zero, and is too small to be useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission not set rates for First Mile based on the fair value of its rate base.
- 18. The rates to be ultimately charged by First Mile will be heavily influenced by the market. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations.
- 19. Staff stated that First Mile lacks the market power to adversely affect the telecommunications market by either restricting output or raising prices. Also, Staff has recommended that First Mile's services be classified as competitive and thus subject to the flexible pricing authority allowed by the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Services rules. Staff believes that these two factors, lack of market power and the competitive marketplace for the services First Mile proposes to offer, support the conclusion that a fair value analysis is not

necessarily representative of the company's operations, and that the rates charged by First Mile will be reasonable.

- 20. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable.
- 21. First Mile's fair value rate base is determined to be zero for purposes of this proceeding.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a Certificate to provide competitive telecommunications services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Applicant to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its application.
- 6. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate authorizing it to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange and resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona as conditioned by Staff's recommendations.
- 7. The telecommunications services that the Applicant intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Applicant to establish rates and charges that are not less than the Applicant's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 10. First Mile's competitive rates, as set forth in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and should be approved.

_ .

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies, for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, and resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona shall be, and is hereby, granted, conditioned upon First Mile's timely compliance with the following three Ordering Paragraphs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies, shall file conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days of this Decision or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies, shall procure a performance bond equal to \$135,000 the earlier of 365 days from the effective date of this Order or 30 days prior to the commencement of service. The minimum bond amount of \$135,000 shall be increased if, at any time, it would be insufficient to cover prepayments or deposits collected from the Applicant's customers. The bond amount shall be increased in increments of \$67,500. This increase shall occur when the total amount of the advances, deposits, and prepayments is within \$13,500 of the bond amount.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies, shall comply with all of the Staff recommendations set forth in the above-stated Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies, fails to meet the timeframes outlined in the Ordering Paragraphs above, that the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity conditionally granted herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

. . .

. . .

DECISION NO.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if First Mile Services, LLC, dba First Mile Technologies,		
2	fails to notify each of its customers and the Commission at least 60 days prior to filing an application		
3	to discontinue service pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107, that in addition to voidance of its Certificate		
4	of Convenience and Necessity, First Mile's performance bond shall be forfeited.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
7			
8			
9	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
10			
11	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	
12		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive	
13		Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the	
14		Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2003.	
15			
16		BRIAN C. McNEIL	
17		EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	
18	DISSENT		
19			
20	DISSENTDDN:dap		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

i		
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	FIRST MILE SERVICES, LLC dba FIRST MILE TECHNOLOGIES
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-04144A-02-0762
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Michael J. Farmer FIRSTMILE SERVICES, L.L.C. 750 Liberty Drive Westfield, Indiana 46074 Rebecca Murphy BENNET & BENNET 1000 Vermont Avenue NW, Tenth Floor Washington, DC 20005 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12 13 14	Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	ON
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
2223		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		